UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,117	09/28/2004	Masaaki Takegami	4633-0126PUS1	1035
2292 7590 04/21/2009 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747		DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES		
FALLS CHURO	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/509,117	TAKEGAMI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	William C. Doerrler	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IF Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27.      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th      Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	awn from consideration.  /or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2004 is  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal I 6)  Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/509,117 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. **Claims 1-4** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being unpatentable over Tanimoto et al. (US Patent No. 6,698,217 B2) in view of Zimmern et al (US Patent No. 6,767,524).

In regard to claim 1, Tanimoto et al. teach a refrigerating apparatus (1) in which a refrigerant circuit which performs a vapor compression refrigerating cycle (col 8 lines 25-29) is provided with an oil return passageway (31) through which refrigerating machine oil separated on the discharge side of the compressors (2A) is injected into the suction side of said compressors (2B, 2C) (see Fig. 1 and col 10 lines 64-657 and col 11 line 1) comprising a liquid injection passageway (10, 27) (see Fig. 1 and col 10 lines 54-55) through which liquid refrigerant is injected into the suction side of said compressors (2A, 2B) (see Fig. 1 and col 9 lines 26-28), wherein said oil return passageway (31) is connected to said liquid injection passageway (27) (see Fig. 1) in which gas refrigerant in said oil return passageway (31) is mixed with said liquid refrigerant prior to injecting into the suction side of said compressors (2A). It is further noted that Tanimoto et al combine the low pressure vapor passing through line 15 with liquid (passing

Art Unit: 3744

through line 27), which will mix the liquid and vapor prior to the fluid entering the compressors.

However, Tanimoto et al. do not explicitly teach the oil return passageway is connected directly to the liquid injection passageway. Zimmern et al teaches a compressor (1) with an oil return passageway (10a) that is directly connected to a refrigerant injection passageway (19) in which the oil is mixed with refrigerant (the paragraph beginning on line 13 of column 5 states that lines 19 and 10a can be merged before entering the compressor) before entering the compressor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to mix the refrigerant and oil before entering the compressor to ensure that the compressor stays well lubricated during the entire period the refrigerant is being compressed and to improve the efficiency of the compressor. Any gas entrained in the lubricant will be mixed in a common passage, such as the one shown by Zimmern et al.

In regard to claim 2, Tanimoto et al. teach a refrigerating apparatus (1) in which a refrigerant circuit which performs a vapor compression refrigerating cycle (col 8 lines 25-29) is provided with a gas injection passageway (15) through which gas refrigerant is injected into the suction side of the compressors (2A, 2B) (see Fig. 1 and col 9 lines 26-30) comprising a liquid injection passageway (10, 27) (see Fig. 1 and col 10 lines 54-55) through which liquid refrigerant is injected into the suction side of said compressors (2A, 2B), wherein said gas injection

Application/Control Number: 10/509,117

Art Unit: 3744

passageway (15) is connected to said liquid injection passageway (10, 27) (see Fig. 1) in which gas refrigerant in said oil return passageway (31) is mixed with said liquid refrigerant prior to injecting into the suction side of said compressors (2A).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to mix the refrigerant and liquid oil before entering the compressor to ensure that the compressor stays well lubricated during the entire period the refrigerant is being compressed and to improve the efficiency of the compressor.

In regard to claim 3, Tanimoto et al. teach the refrigerating apparatus (1) comprising a heat source side unit (4) and utilization side (41, 45, 51) units being connected with one another (see Fig. 1) wherein the degree of superheat of suction refrigerant of said compressors (2A, 2B, 2C) is controlled by adjusting the rate of flow of refrigerant flowing through said liquid injection passageway (10, 27) without operating expansion mechanisms provided in said utilization units (4) (see Fig. 1 and col 10 lines 43-53).

In regard to claim 4, Tanimoto et al. teach the refrigerating apparatus (1) wherein said compressors (2B, 2C) are variable displacement compressors (col 8 lines 48-52) wherein said liquid injection passageway (10, 27) is opened whenever the operating capacity of said compressors (2B, 2C) exceeds a predetermined value (col 13 lines 48-52).

Page 5

Art Unit: 3744

**3.** Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanimoto, et al. (US Patent No. 6,698,217 B2) in view of Zimmern (US Patent No. 6,767,524) as applied to claims 1-4 above and further in view of Tanimoto, et al. (US Patent Pub No. 2004/0112082).

In regard to claim 5, Tanimoto, et al. ('217), as modified by Zimmern et al teach wherein at least one of said compressors (2B, 2C) is deactivated until the operating capacity of said compressors (2B, 2C) but don't explicitly teach until it exceeds a predetermined value (col 1 lines 33-36 and col 1 line 67 and col 2 lines 1-23). Tanimoto, et al. ('082) explicitly teach deactivating a compressor if the capacity becomes to low (para 17 and para 18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deactivate the compressor until it exceeds a predetermined value as taught by Tanimoto, et al. ('082) in the system as taught by Tanimoto, et al. ('217), as modified by Zimmern et al, because the efficiency of the system is improved and prevents any wear on the system should a compressor be operating at too low a capacity.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

The fact that a reference does not teach all the claimed structure and thus is not usable as a 102 reference, does not negative in any way the reference being used in a 103 rejection. It is noted that Zimmern et al shows an intermediate refrigerant inlet.

Art Unit: 3744

This is seen as the suction side of the compressor. Applicant has not clearly claimed that the oil and liquid are injected into the main gas inlet of the compressor.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30 and on alternate Fridays 8 AM – 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834 or Frantz Jules can be reached on 571-272-6681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William C Doerrler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744